

Measures, Integrals and Martingales

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005 (1st printing), 2007 (2nd printing) ISBN: 0-521-85015-0 (hardback), 0-521-61525-9 (paperback)

by

René Schilling

List of misprints and smaller changes to the present text (1st printing 2005, 2nd printing 2007). Last update: May 30, 2016.

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 20, Problem 3.5	be subsets of X	be nonempty subsets of X
p 20, Problem 3.5 (i)	no proper subset $B \subsetneq A$	no proper subset $\emptyset \neq B \subsetneq A$
p 20, Problem 3.9	Is this still true for the family $\mathbb{B}' := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$?	Denote by $B_r(x)$ an open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with centre x and radius r . Show that the Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are generated by the family of open balls $\mathbb{B} := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0\}$. Is this still true for the family $\mathbb{B}' := \{B_r(x) : x \in \mathbb{Q}^n, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$?
p 26, line 6 below	from Example 2.3(v)	from Example 3.3(v)
p 31, line 10 below	$D, E \in \mathcal{E}, D \cap E = \emptyset$	$D, E \in \mathcal{D}, D \cap E = \emptyset$
p 35, line 10 above	invoke T5.5	invoke T5.7
p 36, Problem 5.3	for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$	for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A \subset B$
p 36, Problem 5.8	Mimic the proof of Theorem 5.8(I)...	Mimic the proof of Theorem 5.8(i)...
p 45, lines 10–11 below	side-lengths $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (b_k^{(j)} - b_k^{(j)}) > 0$	side-lengths $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (b_k^{(j)} - a_k^{(j)}) > 0$
p 46, Problem 6.2 (i)	... that $\mu(N) = 0$ for all $N \subset Q \setminus A$ with $N \in \mathcal{A}$... that $\mu(N) = 0$ for all $N \subset A \setminus Q$ with $N \in \mathcal{A}$
p 46, Problem 6.2 (i), Hint	... and $\mu(B) - \mu^*(Q) \leq 1/k$ and $\mu(B_k) - \mu^*(Q) \leq 1/k$.
p 54, Problem 7.5, line 13 below	is ‘take out’ measurable if, and only if, ...	is measurable if, and only if, ...
p 54, Problem 7.9, line 2 below	Let μ be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}^1)$.	Let μ be a measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}^1)$ with $\mu[-n, n] < \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
p 58, Lemma 8.2	$\mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$	$\mathbb{R} \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \cap \mathbb{R} : A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$
p 62, line 14 above	\emptyset , if $\lambda < 0$	X , if $\lambda < 0$
p 65, Problem 8.8, hint	$\{f > \alpha\}$	$\{u > \alpha\}$
p 71, line 5 below	elementary	simple
p 76, line 10 above	elementary	simple

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 78, Theorem 10.4, proof (i)	<i>line missing, add</i> \rightarrow by 9.8(ii). To see the integral formula we assume that $\alpha \leq 0$. Then $(\alpha u)^\pm = (-\alpha)(-u)^\pm = -\alpha u^\mp$ and the formula follows directly from Definition 10.1. The case $\alpha \geq 0$ follows in a similar way.
p 78, Theorem 10.4, proof (ii)	<i>line missing, add</i> \rightarrow by 9.8(iii). For the integral formula observe that $(u+v)^+ - (u+v)^- = u+v = (u^+ + v^+) - (u^- + v^-)$. Thus, $(u+v)^+ + u^- + v^- = (u+v)^- + u^+ + v^+$ and we can integrate this equality and use 9.8(ii) to get $\int (u+v)^+ d\mu + \int u^- d\mu + \int v^- d\mu = \int (u+v)^- d\mu + \int u^+ d\mu + \int v^+ d\mu.$ Since all terms are finite, we can rearrange this equality and use Definition 10.1 to see $\int (u+v) d\mu = \int u d\mu + \int v d\mu$
p 81, line 1 above	$u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$ be a numerical integrable function	$u \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$ be a numerical measurable function
p 82, line 9,10 above	$\stackrel{10.9(i)}{=} \text{ (twice)}$	$\stackrel{10.9(ii)}{=} \text{ (twice)}$
p 83, line 5 above	3.4(iii')	4.4(iii')
p 85, Problem 10.9	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(P) \iff \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(\{u \geq j\}) < \infty.$	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(P) \iff \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(\{ u \geq j\}) < \infty.$
p 86, Probl. 10.12(i)	$\mu_*(E) + \mu_*(F) \leq \mu_*(E \cup F)$	$\mu_*(E) + \mu_*(F) \leq \mu_*(E \cup F)$
p 95, line 6 below	$x \in [a, b]$	$x \in [a, b] \setminus \Pi$
p 96, line 5 above	$\{\Sigma[f] = \sigma[f]\} \subset \{x : f(x) \text{ is continuous}\} \cup \Pi,$	$\{\Sigma[f] = \sigma[f]\} \supset \{x : f(x) \text{ is continuous}\},$
p 102, Problem 11.15	Let X be a random variable on ...	Let X be a positive random variable on ...
p 111, lines 3–5 above	$\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}$	$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}$ (four instances!!)
p 118, Problem 12.18	The conjugate index is given by $q := 1/(p-1) < 0$.	The conjugate index is given by $q := p/(p-1) < 0$.
p 122, line 11 below	$(A \times X) \cap (Y \times B)$	$(A \times Y) \cap (X \times B)$
p 127, line 11/12 below	$(A_1 \times Y) \cap (X \times A_2)$	$(A_1 \times X_2) \cap (X_1 \times A_2)$
p 128, line 1 above	$\mathcal{A}_j / \mathcal{A}_j$	$\mathcal{A}_2 / \mathcal{A}_j$
p 133, Prob. 13.13(iv) line 14 below	$[\phi(F(s)) - \phi(F(s-)) - \phi'(F(s))\Delta F(s)]$	$[\phi(F(s)) - \phi(F(s-)) - \phi'(F(s-))\Delta F(s)]$
p 133, Problem 13.14, line 9 below	$\mu_f(\{f \geq t\})$	$\mu_f(t) := \mu(\{ f \geq t\})$

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
pp 134-5, Thm 14.1	<p>The proof of Theorem 14.1 shows more than what is claimed. Add, therefore, the following line inside the statement of Theorem 14.1 after formula (14.2):</p>	<p><i>In particular, $u \circ T$ is μ-integrable if, and only if, u is $T(\mu)$-integrable.</i></p>
p 135, lines 3-5 below	Often we are ... Theorem 14.1	<p>Delete lines 3-5 from below</p>
p 137, line 5 below	$\dots = \alpha(\mu \times \nu)$.	$\dots = \alpha(\mu \times \nu)(B)$.
p 138, line 7 above	$\mathcal{L}^1(\mu \times \nu)$	$\mathcal{L}^1(\mu \star \nu)$
p 139, line 13 above	such that $\ u - \phi_\epsilon\ $	such that $\ u - \phi_\epsilon\ _p$
p 141, Problem 14.7, line 11 above	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda^1)$	$u \in \mathcal{L}^1_+(\lambda^1)$
p 141, Problem 14.9, line 17 above	$\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^q$	$\mathcal{L}^p(\lambda^n), \mathcal{L}^q(\lambda^n)$
p 141, Prob. 14.10(iii)	$\dots = \{y : \forall x \in \text{supp } u : x - y \leq \epsilon\}$	$\dots = \{y : \exists x \in \text{supp } u : x - y \leq \epsilon\}$
p 141, Prob. 14.11(ii)	$u \star w(x) = \dots$	$v \star w(x) = \dots$
p 141, Prob. 14.11, line 2 below	\dots commutativity of the convolution which was used in ...	\dots associativity of the convolution which is implicit in ...
p 155, line 3 below	$\lambda^2(dx_n)$	$\lambda^1(dx_n)$
p 160, Prob. 15.6(i), line 5 below	$\det D\Phi(x)$	$ D\Phi(x) ^2$
p 160, Prob. 15.6(ii), line 4 below	$\det D\Phi(x)$	$ D\Phi(x) $
p 161, Prob. 15.6(iv), line 5 above	$\det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) = 1 + (f'(x)^2) - r f''(x)$	$\det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) = \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2} - \frac{r f''(x)}{1 + (f'(x))^2}$
p 161, Prob. 15.6(vi), line 11 above	$\dots \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, r) \lambda^1(dr)$	$\dots \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, s) \lambda^1(ds)$
p 161, Prob. 15.6(vii), line 14 above	$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \lambda^2(C(r)) = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(C)} \det D\Phi(x) \lambda^1(dx)$	$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2r} \lambda^2(C(r)) = \int_{\Phi^{-1}(C)} \det D\tilde{\Phi}(x, 0) \lambda^1(dx)$
p 161, Prob. 15.7(ii), line 12 below	$\int_M u(r\xi) r^n d\lambda_M(\xi) = \dots$	$\int_M u(r\xi) r^n d\lambda^n(\xi) = \dots$
p 161, Prob. 15.7(iii), line 8 below	$= \int_{(0, \infty)} \int_{\{\ x\ =1\}} u(rx) \sigma(dx) \lambda^1(dr)$.	$= \int_{(0, \infty)} \int_{\{\ x\ =1\}} r^{n-1} u(rx) \sigma(dx) \lambda^1(dr)$.

continues on next page

pp 165-6, Proof of Theorem 16.6

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j|^p d\mu = \int |u|^p d\mu$, there exists some constant $C < \infty$ such that $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int |u_j|^p d\mu \leq C$, and for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \int |u_j|^p d\mu - \int |u|^p d\mu \right| \leq \epsilon^p \quad \forall j \geq N_\epsilon.$$

Setting $w_\epsilon := \max\{|u_1|, |u_2|, \dots, |u_{N_\epsilon}|, |u|\}$, we have $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mu)^{\checkmark}$ and we see for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ that

$$\{|u_j| > \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\} = \emptyset \quad \forall j \leq N_\epsilon, \quad \{|u_j| > \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\} \subset \{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_j| > \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\}} |u_j|^p d\mu &\leq \left| \int_{\{|u_j| > \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\}} (|u_j|^p - |u|^p) d\mu \right| + \int_{\{|u_j| > \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\}} |u|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \epsilon^p + \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \epsilon^p + \epsilon^p \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int |u_j|^p d\mu \leq (1 + C) \epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u_j|^p d\mu &\leq \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u|^p d\mu + \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} (|u_j|^p - |u|^p) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u|^p d\mu + \int (|u_j|^p - |u|^p) d\mu + \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| \leq |u|\}} (|u_j|^p - |u|^p) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the three integrals I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , respectively. Because of assumption (iii) we know that

$$I_2 \leq \epsilon^p, \quad \text{for all } j \geq N_\epsilon$$

for some $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, (iii) shows that $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int |u_j| d\mu \leq C < \infty$ which means that

$$I_1 \leq \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u|^p d\mu \leq \epsilon^p \int_{\{\epsilon |u_j| > |u|\}} |u_j|^p d\mu \leq \epsilon^p \int |u_j|^p d\mu \leq C \epsilon^p.$$

Finally, the inclusion

$$\{\epsilon |u_j| \leq |u|\} \subset \{|u_j|^p - |u|^p| \leq (\epsilon^{-p} + 1)|u|^p\}$$

yields with $\kappa := \epsilon^{-p} + 1$ and $\Delta_j^p := |u_j|^p - |u|^p$

$$|I_3| \leq \int_{\{\Delta_j^p \leq \kappa |u|^p\}} \Delta_j^p d\mu.$$

continues on next page

continuation

Splitting the integration domain into three parts,

$$\{\Delta_j^p \leq (\kappa|u|^p) \wedge \eta\}, \quad \{\eta \leq \Delta_j^p \leq \kappa|u|^p\} \cap \{|u|^p > R\},$$

$$\text{and } \{\eta \leq \Delta_j^p \leq \kappa|u|^p\} \cap \{|u|^p \leq R\},$$

we get

$$|I_3| \leq \int \eta \wedge (\kappa|u|^p) d\mu + \int_{\{|u|^p > R\}} \kappa|u|^p d\mu + \kappa R \mu(\{\Delta_j^p \geq \eta\} \cap \{|u|^p \geq \frac{\eta}{\kappa}\}).$$

By dominated convergence, the two integrals on the right hand side tend to 0 as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ resp. $R \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we may choose $\eta = \eta_\epsilon$ and $R = R_\epsilon$ such that

$$|I_3| \leq \epsilon^p + \kappa R \mu(\{\Delta_j^p \geq \eta\} \cap \{|u|^p \geq \frac{\eta}{\kappa}\}).$$

Since the set $\{|u|^p \geq \eta/\kappa\}$ has finite μ -measure^[✓] and since $|u_j|^p \xrightarrow{\mu} |u|^p$, i.e. $\Delta_j^p \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$, see Lemma ??(iii), we can find some $M_\epsilon \geq N_\epsilon$ such that

$$|I_3| \leq 2\epsilon^p \quad \text{for all } j \geq M_\epsilon.$$

Setting $w_\epsilon := \max\{|u_1|, \dots, |u_{M_\epsilon}|, |u|\}$ we have $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mu)$ ^[✓] and see

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{|u_j| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} w_\epsilon\}} |u_j| d\mu \leq \sup_{j \geq M_\epsilon} \int_{\{\epsilon|u_j| \leq |u|\}} |u_j| d\mu \leq (C+3)\epsilon^p.$$

continues on next page

p 167, lines 4–10 above

From this we conclude that for $W = 2w \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mu)$ and large $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu &= \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| > W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu + \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| \leq W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| \leq W \wedge \epsilon\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu + \int_{\{\epsilon < |u_j - u_k| \leq W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \epsilon^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \left\{ \int_{\substack{\{|u_j - u_k| > \epsilon\} \\ \cap \{W > R\}}} W^p d\mu + \int_{\substack{\{|u_j - u_k| > \epsilon\} \\ \cap \{\epsilon < W \leq R\}}} W^p d\mu \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \epsilon^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \int_{\{W > R\}} W^p d\mu \\
&\quad + R^p \mu(\{|u_j - u_k| > \epsilon\} \cap \{\epsilon < W \leq R\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting first $j, k \rightarrow \infty$ we find because of $u_j \xrightarrow{\mu} u$ that^[✓]

$$\limsup_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \epsilon^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \int_{\{W > R\}} W^p d\mu.$$

The last two terms vanish as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ by the dominated convergence theorem 12.9, so that $\lim_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu = 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ is complete (cf. T 12.7), $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ to a limit $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$.

 (X, \mathcal{A}, ν)

$$f_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

be a measure space

 ρ_μ, g_μ, d_μ etc.

Therefore (ii) means

$$u_0 = \int u_1 d\mu$$

Set $f_0 := 0$ and $u_0 := \int u_1 d\mu$.

$$(b-a)U([a, b]; N)$$

$$(b-a) \int_A U([a, b]; N) d\mu$$

p 173, Prob. 16.1, line 20 below

p 173, Prob. 16.1, line 19 below

p 173, Prob. 16.3, line 13 below

p 174, Prob. 16.8

p 177, line 8 below

p 188, Prob. 17.1

p 188, Prob. 17.7

p 191, line 11 above

p 191, line 14 above

From this we conclude that for $W = 2w \in \mathcal{L}_+^p(\mu)$ and large $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu &= \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| > W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu + \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| \leq W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int_{\{|u_j - u_k| \leq W \wedge \delta\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu + \int_{\{\delta < |u_j - u_k| \leq W\}} |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \delta^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \left\{ \int_{\substack{\{|u_j - u_k| > \delta\} \\ \cap \{W > R\}}} W^p d\mu + \int_{\substack{\{|u_j - u_k| > \delta\} \\ \cap \{\delta < W \leq R\}}} W^p d\mu \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \delta^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \int_{\{W > R\}} W^p d\mu \\
&\quad + R^p \mu(\{|u_j - u_k| > \delta\} \cap \{\delta < W \leq R\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting first $j, k \rightarrow \infty$ we find because of $u_j \xrightarrow{\mu} u$ that^[✓]

$$\limsup_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu \leq 2^{p+2} \epsilon + \int \delta^p \wedge W^p d\mu + \int_{\{W > R\}} W^p d\mu.$$

The last two terms vanish as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ by the dominated convergence theorem 12.9, so that $\lim_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_j - u_k|^p d\mu = 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ is complete (cf. T 12.7), $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ to a limit $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$.

 (X, \mathcal{A}, μ)

$$u_j \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

be a σ -finite measure space ρ_P, g_P, d_P etc.

Therefore (i) means

$$u_0 = \mu(X)^{-1} \int u_1 d\mu$$

Set $f_0 := 0$.

$$(b-a)U([a, b]; N) - (X_N - a)^-$$

$$(b-a) \int_A U([a, b]; N) d\mu - \int_A (X_N - a)^- d\mu$$

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
p 191, line 8 below	$\stackrel{17.7}{\leq} \int (u_{\tau_N} - a) d\mu \leq \int (u_{\tau_N} - a)^+ d\mu$	$\stackrel{17.7}{\leq} \int (u_{\tau_N} - a) d\mu$
p 200, Prob. 18.6(ii)	Let $\mathcal{A}_n^A = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{2^n}^A)$. Show that $A_n := \{X_{2^{n-1}+1} + \dots + X_{2^n} = 0\}$ is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ contained in \mathcal{A}_n and	Let $\mathcal{A}_n^A = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_{n^2})$. Show that $A_n := \{X_{(n-1)^2+2} + \dots + X_{n^2} = 0\}$ is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ contained in \mathcal{A}_n and
p 200, Prob. 18.6(iii)	The sequence $M_0 := 0$ and $M_{n+1} := M_n(1 + X_{2^{n+1}}) + \mathbf{1}_{A_n} X_{2^{n+1}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, defines a martingale $(M_n, \mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq 1}$.	The sequence $M_2 := 0$ and $M_{n+1} := M_n(1 + X_{n^2+1}) + \mathbf{1}_{A_n} X_{n^2+1}$, $n \geq 2$, defines a martingale $(M_n, \mathcal{A}_n)_{n \geq 2}$.
p 214, line 2 above	$\sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda^n(Q)} \int_Q f d\lambda^n : Q \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_k^{[0]}, x \in Q \right\}$	$\sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda^n(Q)} \int_Q f d\lambda^n : Q = Q_k(z), k \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in 2^{-k}\mathbb{Z}^n, x \in Q \right\}$
p 217, line 6 below	$\sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(Q)}{\lambda^n(Q)} : Q \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_k^{[e]}, x \in Q \right\}$	$\sup \left\{ \frac{\mu(Q)}{\lambda^n(Q)} : Q = Q_k(z), k \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in 2^{-k}\mathbb{Z}^n, x \in Q \right\}$
p 223, Prob. 19.9	(X, \mathcal{A}, μ)	$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mu)$
p 223, Prob. 19.9	drop the hint	A martingale $(u_j, \mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ on a σ -finite filtered measure space is called
p 225, Prob. 19.14	A martingale $(u_j, \mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called	A martingale $(u_j, \mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ on a σ -finite filtered measure space is called
p 231, (20.13)	$\dots + i\ v - iw\ ^2 - i\ v - iw\ ^2$	$\dots + i\ v + iw\ ^2 - i\ v + iw\ ^2$
p 233, Prob. 20.5	an inner product space	a real inner product space
p 237, line 3 below	$\dots, \underbrace{\langle \alpha g + \beta h \rangle}_{\in F} = 0$	$\dots, \underbrace{\langle \alpha P_F g + \beta P_F h \rangle}_{\in F} = 0$
p 237, line 1 below	$\dots, \underbrace{\langle \alpha g + \beta h \rangle}_{\in F} = 0$	$\dots, \underbrace{\langle P_F(\alpha g + \beta h) \rangle}_{\in F} = 0$
p 247, Prob. 21.13 (iv)	Show that $(E^{A_n} u)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}}$ is a martingale.	Show that $(E^{A_n} u)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}}$, $u \in L^1(\mathcal{A}) \cap L^2(\mathcal{A})$, is a martingale.
p 247, Prob. 21.13 (v)	Show that $E^{A_n} u \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{A_\infty} u$ a.e. and in L^2 .	Show that $E^{A_n} u \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{A_\infty} u$ a.e. and in L^2 for all $u \in L^1(\mathcal{A}) \cap L^2(\mathcal{A})$.
p 261, line 6 above	$\mathbf{1}_{\{0 < E^{\mathcal{G}} u < \infty\}}$	$\mathbf{1}_{\{0 < E^{\mathcal{G}} u < \infty\}}$
p 261, line 14 below	$\ E^{\mathcal{G}} u \mathbf{1}_{G_n}\ _1 = \langle E^{\mathcal{G}} u, \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \stackrel{21.4(\text{iii}), (\text{ix})}{=} \langle u, \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \leq \ u\ _1$	$\ E^{\mathcal{G}} u \mathbf{1}_{G_n}\ _1 \stackrel{22.4(\text{xii})}{\leq} \langle E^{\mathcal{G}} u , \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \stackrel{22.4(\text{iii}), (\text{ix})}{=} \langle u , \mathbf{1}_{G_n} \rangle \leq \ u\ _1$
p 274, problem 23.4	$M(\mathcal{A})$	$M^+(\mathcal{A})$
p 274, problem 23.6	$L^1(\mathcal{A})$	$L^1_+(\mathcal{A})$
p 275, problem 23.11	twice: s_j	twice: u_j
p 281, lines 10–11 above	$C[0, 1] \subset L^1[0, 1] \subset L^2[0, 1]$	$C[0, 1] \subset L^2[0, 1] \subset L^1[0, 1]$

continues on next page

p 283, (24.4)

... from the classical result that

$$\int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos kx \sin \ell x \, dx = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq \ell, \\ \pi, & \text{if } k = \ell \geq 1, \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } k = \ell = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24.4)$$

p 286,
before Lemma 24.11
p 286, line 6 below
p 287, line 15, 13, 12, 11 below
p 297, line 9 below
p 309, line 5 below
p 310, line 3 above
p 310, lines 9-12 above

add the following line just before Lemma 24.11

 $C[-\pi, \pi]$ $C[-\pi, \pi]$ $(\mathbf{E}^{A \Delta_M})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$

most prominent stochastic process

 $B \in \mathcal{B}[0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}, H_n \rangle &= \int_0^t H_n(x) \, dx = \\ \frac{1}{2} 2^{k/2} \int_0^t H_1(2^k x - j) \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} 2^{-k/2} F_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(t) = \int_0^t H_1(x) \, dx \mathbf{1}_{[0, 1]}(t) = 2t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(t) - (2t - 2) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(t)$ is a tent-function and $F_n(t) := F_1(2^k t - j)$. Since $0 \leq F_n \leq 1$, we see

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}, H_n \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = \frac{1}{2},$$

p 310, footnote

 $e^{\sigma_n^2 \xi^2 / 2}$ (twice) resp. $e^{\sigma^2 \xi^2 / 2}$... from the classical result that for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos jx \cos kx \, dx &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq j, \\ \pi, & \text{if } k = j \geq 1, \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } k = j = 0, \end{cases} \\ \int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \sin \ell x \sin mx \, dx &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ell \neq m, \\ \pi, & \text{if } \ell = m \end{cases} \\ \int_{(-\pi, \pi)} \cos kx \sin \ell x \, dx &= 0 \text{ for all } k, \ell \end{aligned} \quad (24.4)$$

Denote the set of 2π -periodic continuous functions by $C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi] = \{u \in C[-\pi, \pi] : u(\pi) = u(-\pi)\}$ $C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$ $C_{\text{per}}[-\pi, \pi]$ $(\mathbf{E}^{A \Delta_M u})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$

most prominent stochastic processes

 $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}, H_n \rangle &= \int_0^t H_n(x) \, dx = \\ 2^{k/2} \int_0^t H_1(2^k x - j) \, dx &= 2^{-k/2} F_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(t) = \int_0^t H_1(x) \, dx \mathbf{1}_{[0, 1]}(t) = t \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}(t) - (t - 1) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]}(t)$ is a tent-function and $F_n(t) := F_1(2^k t - j)$. Since $0 \leq F_n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we see

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}, H_n \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \frac{1}{4},$$

 $e^{-\sigma_n^2 \xi^2 / 2}$ (twice) resp. $e^{-\sigma^2 \xi^2 / 2}$

continues on next page

p 311, line 10-13 below

the paragraph (line 14 below – 6 below): “Let us finally turn ... a.e. limit $W_t(\omega)$ ” is corrupted (the exponent 4 is missing) and should be corrected as follows (see also bonus material for an extended version):

Let us finally turn to the dependence of $W_t(\omega)$ on t . Note that for $2^m - 1 = M < N = 2^n - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |S_N(t; \omega) - S_M(t; \omega)|^4 d\omega \\ &= \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right|^4 d\omega \\ &= \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{-\frac{k}{8}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{8}} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right] \right|^4 d\omega \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{-\frac{k}{6}} \right]}_{\leq 10} \cdot \sum_{k=m+1}^n \left[\sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{8}} e_{2^k+j}(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle \right]^4 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder’s inequality for the outer sum with $p = \frac{4}{3}$ and $q = 4$. Since the functions $t \mapsto \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle$ have for $j = 0, \dots, 2^k - 1$ disjoint supports and are bounded by $\frac{1}{2} 2^{-k/2}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |S_N(t; \omega) - S_M(t; \omega)|^4 d\omega \\ &\leq 10 \int_0^1 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} e_{2^k+j}^4(\omega) \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}, H_{2^k+j} \rangle^4 d\omega \\ &\leq 10 \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \underbrace{\int_0^1 e_{2^k+j}^4(\omega) d\omega}_{= C \quad \forall j,k} 2^{4-2k} \\ &= 10C \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot 2^k \cdot 2^{4-2k} \leq \frac{5C}{4} 2^{-\frac{m}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

which means that the partial sums $S_N(t; \omega)$ of $W_t(\omega)$ converge in $L^1(d\omega)$ uniformly for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By C?? we can extract a subsequence, which converges (uniformly in t) for $\lambda(d\omega)$ -almost all ω to $W_t(\omega)$; since for fixed ω the partial sums $t \mapsto S_N(t; \omega)$ are continuous functions of t , this property is inherited by the a.e. limit $W_t(\omega)$.

continues on next page

PAGE, LINE	READS	SHOULD READ
<p>p 312, Prob. 24.5 p 313, line 9 above p 314, line 9 above p 314, line 14 above</p>	<p>$\sin^{k+1} x$ $[-\infty, +\infty)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty]$, respectively. (ix) If, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_j, b_j \geq 0$, then (xi) If $a_j, b_j \geq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j$ exists, then</p>	<p>$\sin^{2k+1} x$ $[-\infty, +\infty]$. (ix) For all bounded sequences $a_j, b_j \geq 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (xi) If $a_j, b_j \geq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j$ exists, then</p>
<p>p 320, Prop. B.6 p 365, Ref. [22]</p>	<p>(ii) Closed subsets of compact sets are closed. Kaczmarz, S. and H. Steinhaus, <i>Theorie der Orthogonalreihen</i> (2nd corr. reprint), New York: Chelsea, 1951. First edition appeared under the same title with PWN, Warsaw: Monogr. Mat. Warszawa vol. VI, 1935.</p>	<p>(ii) Closed subsets of compact sets are compact. Kaczmarz, S. and H. Steinhaus, <i>Theorie der Orthogonalreihen</i> (2nd corr. reprint), New York: Chelsea, 1951. First edition was published in Warsaw: PWN, Monogr. Mat. Warszawa vol. VI, 1935.</p>
<p>p 365, Ref. [34]</p>	<p>Paley, R. E. A. C. and N. Wiener, Providence (RI): <i>Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain</i>, American Mathematical Society, Coll. Publ. vol. 19, 1934.</p>	<p>Paley, R. E. A. C. and N. Wiener, <i>Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain</i>, Providence (RI): American Mathematical Society, Coll. Publ. vol. 19, 1934.</p>
<p>p 372, index item completion and inner/outer regularity</p>	<p>160, (Pr 15.6)</p>	<p>160, (Pr 15.3)</p>

I would like to thank the following readers for their comments and their contributions to this list:

Ruslan Aduk (Berlin), Robert Alban (New York), Björn Böttcher (Dresden), Lik Hang Nick Chan (Hong Kong), Thomas El Khatib (Berlin), Jan de Jongh, Panki Kim (Seoul), Jerry Koliha (Melbourne), Krishnan Narayanan (Helsinki), Klaus D. Schmidt (Dresden), Eugene Shargorodsky (London), Robert Smith (Australia), Anja Voß-Böhme (Dresden), Ludo Vromen (Utrecht)